

RSAC[®]Conference2020

San Francisco | February 24 – 28 | Moscone Center

HUMAN
ELEMENT

SESSION ID: MASH2-F02

First Do No Harm



Mary Ann Davidson

Chief Security Officer
Oracle Corporation
@heenaluwahine

#RSAC

Agenda

- Caveats
- Impact of Technology
- Scenarios
- Medical Profession vs. Technology Profession
- What to Do?
- Conclusions
- Apply What You Have Learned

Some Caveats ...

- Technology can be an incredible power for good
 - ...but with great power comes great responsibility
- Perfect isn't achievable
 - ...but “better” almost always is
- The only constant in life is change
 - ...and that happens whether we like it or not
- Never underestimate the power of one person to change the world

The Great Myth



Impact of Technology

- Positive and negatives to almost everything
- Connectedness
- Shortened attention spans
- Far more information, and the ability to harness information
- Greatly reduced privacy
- Risks many of us don't see and can't understand
- Have *many* of us ever considered the pluses **and** minuses of technology?

Scenario 1: Connected Cars

- Connected cars have already been hacked
 - “All code has defects; some are significant”
 - No, ML and AI won’t make this all work
- Testing mechanical devices is straightforward
 - ...testing code is far more complex
- Inability to maintain code for the life of the vehicle
 - ...means far more mechanical waste
- The appeal of “break once; hack many”
- Confluence of technologies may empower precise targeting
 - Or massive disruption

Scenario 2: The Internet-Enabled Refrigerator

- What actual problem does this solve?
- Who *else* can see if you are out of eggs?
- Why does my kitchen need a firewall?
- Why is my refrigerator now part of a botnet?
- Can the software be maintained for the life of the refrigerator?
- In general, many mechanical devices do not need Internet connectivity and do not profit by them

Scenario 3: The Nuclear Reactor

- Traditionally, access limited to selected, well-vetted people
 - Enforced by guns, gates, guards
 - Physical access required to control reactor
 - Result: limited, well understood, controlled risk
- “Connected access” may *exponentially* increase risk
 - Result: If you get it wrong, risk cannot be acceptably mitigated

Is 'More Technology' Necessarily The Answer?



CARLOON
COLLECTIONS
.com
Search ID: CX304262

Shanahan

"I hate this damn thing!"

Consider the Medical Profession

- The Hippocratic Oath: First Do No Harm
- Informed consent, including for clinical trials
- Extensive drug and device testing
- Understanding of side effects of treatments and medication
- Risk vs. reward calculation

Consider the Technology “Profession”

- “More technology will solve technology-induced problems”
- Insufficient/uninformed consent
- Inconsistent testing
- Insufficient understanding of side effects
- Reward often trumps risk; systemic risk ignored

“A Prophet Is Without Honor in His Own Country”



"WE COULDN'T HIRE THE CYBERSECURITY CANDIDATE YOU SENT US. HE WAS SAYING TOO MANY SCARY THINGS ABOUT OUR COMPUTERS."

RSA®Conference2020

What Should We Do?

Question the Potential Impact of Technology

- Does this (technology) solve a problem people care about?
 - “OMG, like, we need, like, an app to share TPPs with BFFs!”
- Does this potential technology solve a problem better, cheaper or faster than current options?
 - If not, why are we using it?
- Does this technology create externalities?
- Is the long-term total cost greater than the benefits?
- Does this technology create systemic risk?

Broader Mechanisms for Change

- Improve educational systems (especially STEM programs)
 - Ethical considerations of technology must become part of curricula
 - Technology is ephemeral; ethical norms much less so
 - Example: Humanities division within the University of Virginia School of Engineering and Applied Science
- Industry and professional associations should develop a professional code of ethics
- Industry conferences should incorporate “ethics” tracks
- If we don’t start talking about ethical/acceptable uses of technology, *nothing will ever change*

What Can One Person Do?

- “Let Your ‘Yes’ be ‘Yes’ and Your ‘No’ be ‘No’”
- Learn to explain technological concerns in layman’s language
 - Incorporate economics, analogies, humor, popular cultural references...*whatever works* to make your point
 - My favorite: The Little Dutch Boy
- Enlist allies
 - Legal, human resources, privacy mavens, ethics and compliance hotlines...
- Build a community of ethically-aware technologists...and technology-aware ethicists

RSA[®]Conference2020

Summary

Don't Be This Guy



"First, admit no harm."

Conclusions

- Technology-induced problems cannot (necessarily) be solved by more technology
 - By definition, systemic risk must be **avoided**
- We can only successfully use technology if we are honest about potential risks and “pernicious uses”
- Discussions of ethics in technology *must* become the norm
- Each of us can and should be a force for good in the universe
- “First, Do No Harm”

Questions?